







Modeling of Partial Shading at the Cell Level on Photovoltaic Modules

Jean-Paul Calin^{1,2,3}, Jacques Levrat², Antonin Faes^{1,2}, Fahradin Mujovi², Paul Rémondeau¹, Kléber Nicolet-dit-Félix¹, Bénédicte Bonnet-Eymard², Didier Dalmazzone³, Aïcha Hessler-Wyser¹, Christophe Ballif^{1,2}

EPFL, PV-Lab, Maladière 71b, CH-2002 Neuchâtel¹; CSEM, Rue Jaquet-Droz 1, CH-2002 Neuchâtel²; Institut Polytechnique de Paris, ENSTA, 828 Boulevard des Maréchaux, 91120 Palaiseau, France³

Introduction

Photovoltaic systems are highly sensitive to partial shading, which can significantly reduce their efficiency and overall energy output. In urban environments, where buildings and other structures frequently cast shadows, this issue is particularly pronounced. Efficient shadow modeling and electrical simulation are crucial for optimizing PV system performance.





ose Pri	nt Exp	oort H	elp																
								Pla	ane orien	tation—									
C Recompute					Fixed Tilted Plane										Tilt = 25°, Azimuth = 0°				
	<i>v</i>																		
					Sh	ading fa	ctor tab	ole (line	ar), for t	the bea	m comp	onent,	Orient. #	#1					
Azimuth	-180°	-160°	-140°	-120°	-100°	-80°	-60°	-40°	-20°	0°	20°	40°	60°	80°	100°	120°	140°	160°	180°
ight																			
°	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
e.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
۴	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001
e.	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.002
•	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.004
•	0.009	0.008	0.006	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.005	0.008	0.009
•	0.015	0.013	0.012	0.011	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.007	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.005	0.010	0.014	0.015
•	0.021	0.018	0.025	0.024	0.019	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.036	0.011	0.007	0.007	0.005	0.001	0.003	0.011	0.021	0.020	0.021
•	Behind	Behind	0.039	0.037	0.049	0.039	0.046	0.334	0.464	0.383	0.325	0.248	0.030	0.004	0.005	0.017	0.032	Behind	Behind
	Behind	Behind	Behind	Behind	0.079	0.379	0.700	0.812	0.854	0.831	0.788	0.763	0.674	0.298	0.008	Behind	Behind	Behind	Behind
						Shadi	ng facto	or for di	ffuse: ().044 aı	nd for al	bedo: ().788						
								co ch	adina	e dia									
								so-si	aumg	is ula	gram								
								0	rienta	tion #1									
		90 -					Fixed	l plane	, Tilts/a	azimuti	hs: 15°	/ -3°				_		_	
		Ĩ		Shad	ing loss	loss: 1% Attenuation for diffuse: 0.038										June '			
				Shad	ing loss	g loss: 5% and albedo: 0.071									2: 22 1	/lay and	23 July		
		- F		Shad	ing loss	: 10%									3: 20 A	Apr and	23 Aug	1	
						0000									4.20 M	Aar and	23 Ser		
		75 -		Shad	ing loss	: 20%					-			 \ 	4. 20 1		20 Oob	1	

Experimental Setup



Fig. 4: Obstacle installed in front of monitored outdoor roof tile modules Irradiance for 2024-04-16

Fig. 1: Chimney shadow on solar roof tiles Fig. 2: Roof structure shadow on PV modules

State of the Art:

PVSYST¹ is a professional software that supports fast shading factor estimation. It uses a pure geometric approach, neglecting important optical phenomena like reflection. Furthermore, the simulation is done at the module level, offering no visibility at the cell level.

Fig. 3: Shading factor diagram from PVSYST simulation

- Installed a chimney-like structure in front of roof tile modules
- Captured images of shadow projection throughout the day
- Monitored I-V curves, power, irradiance, and temperature

Method: Vertex Projection Approach

\bigcirc 1. Model Configuration

150

2. Vertices Projected on Ground Plane

- Pure geometric approach with visibility at cell level Original algorithm developed using
- pvlib-python² and inspired from de Sá et al.³
- Runtime optimization steps are described below

Method: Ray Tracing Approach

3. Irradiance Map

Ray tracing provides more precise irradiance values by modeling:

Light ray interactions with materials: reflection, refraction, absorption, transmission, and scattering

The **Radiance** package⁴:

- Calculates 3 components of light at each viewpoint: direct, specular indirect, and diffuse indirect component
- Uses a hybrid Monte Carlo and deterministic ray tracing method

Results

Vertex Projection Runtime Optimization

Goal : increase the speed of the vertex projection simulation

- The most computationally intensive step is the **shadow raster**
- Options for reducing the runtime:
- Optimize data structures and computation
- Check solar altitude angle: if negative, \checkmark skip
- Check the four corners of the cell: if all corners are shaded (illuminated), assume

Ray Tracing Runtime Optimization

Goal : increase the speed of the ray tracing simulation

- The **computational complexity** depends on the model configuration
- Options for reducing the runtime:
 - ✓ Use a cylinder to model the obstacle rather than 20 pairs of vertices
 - ✓ Use fewer light ray bounces (=2)
- → The **runtime** of the simulation step decreased from 6.8 to 2.5 seconds

Fig. 10: Experimental images of shadows

the whole cell is shaded (illuminated)

- × Reduce cell grid size (= 40)
- × Reduce the number of obstruction vertices (n points = 20)
- → The **runtime** of the simulation step decreased from 3 minutes to 8 seconds

Fig. 11: Irradiance maps simulated with Radiance

configuration

References

[1] A. Mermoud, "Pvsyst: a user-friendly software for pv-system simulation," in Twelfth European Photovoltaic Solar EnergyConference: proceedings of the International Conference, 1994.

[2] W. F. Holmgren, C. W. Hansen, and M. A. Mikofski, "pvlib python: A python package for modeling solar energy systems," Journal of Open Source Software, vol. 3, no. 29, p. 884, 2018.

[3] B. A. de Sá, T. Dezuo, and D. Ohf, "Shadow modelling algorithm for photovoltaic systems: extended analysis and simulation," Journal of Control, Automation and Electrical Systems, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 1507–1518, 2022.

[4] G. W. Larson and R. Shakespeare, Rendering with Radiance: the art and science of lighting visualization. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., 1998

Conclusion

by vertex projection

Experimentally validated shadow simulation on cells in a module using vertex projection and ray tracing methods. Both methods offer cell-level visibility, which is beyond the capabilities of PVSYST and enables optimization of module parameters, such as cell and string interconnections.

Timed the 1-year hourly simulation as typically needed to compute Energy Yield:

- Vertex projection: offers cell-level visibility: runtime improved from 14 days to 9.93 hours
- Ray tracing: offers cell-level visibility and more precision by modeling light ray interactions: runtime of 5.05 hours

Next steps:

Electrical simulation from cell to module level Experimental validation on measured I-V curves